

REMARKS

Status of Case

Claims 1-6 have been cancelled. Claims 7-14 are pending in this case. Claim 7 is an independent claim.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

Claims 7-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Office Action objects to previously presented claim 7, which recited:


“the one or more methods being called and executed by the processor following an application stored in the memory”;

“a data access manager that prohibits any access to the one or more data sets by the processor following an application stored in the memory without calling and following a method contained in an object generated by the object generator”; and

“an object generation manager that allows the object generator to generate, following one application among the one or more applications, an imperfect encapsulated object that is an object containing a method indicated procedures of operation making a specific data set among the one or more data sets accessible by the processor following any one of the one or more applications, only when reliability of the one application meets a predetermined requirement”

Applicants amend claim 7 where it is believe appropriate. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorneys for the Applicant via telephone if such communication would expedite this application.

Respectfully submitted,



Amir N. Penn
Registration No. 40,767
Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200